

MINUTES

October 3, 2006

The State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors met on October 3, 2006, in Conference Room 104 at the office of the Professional Licensing Boards, 237 Coliseum Drive, Macon, Georgia 31217.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

E. Charles Vickery, PE - Chairman
Doris I. Willmer, PE, Vice-Chairperson
James W. Butler, LS
Mark E. Chastain, LS
E. Scott Evans, Public Member
Stephen R. Richards, PE
Elmo A. Richardson, Jr., PE/LS (joined meeting at 1:00 pm)
Guy F. Ritter, PE

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

William W. Dean, PE

STAFF PRESENT:

J. Darren Mickler – Board Executive Director
Julie Busbee – Board Secretary/Application Specialist
Vivian Stephens – Application Specialist
Sharon Harrison – Compliance Secretary
Glendora Grant - Secretary
Julie Fisher – Attorney
Willie Hollingshed - Investigator

ATTORNEY GENERAL REPRESENTATIVE:

Patricia Downing, Senior Assistant Attorney General

GUESTS PRESENT:

Hardwick Butler, representing Surveying and Mapping Society of Georgia (SAMSOG)
Robert Armstrong, LS, representing Surveying and Mapping Society of Georgia
(SAMSOG)
Steve Skalko, PE, representing Georgia Society of Professional Engineers (GSPE)
Earl Ferguson, PE

Call to order:

At 9:30 am, Chairman Vickery declared a Public Hearing open to receive comments on proposed amendments to Board Rule 180-3-.02, regarding Experience Qualifications for Professional Engineers and Engineers-In-Training; Board Rule 180-8-.01, regarding Reinstatement of Expired License; and Board Rule 180-11-.03, regarding Continuing Professional Competency Requirements.

Chairman Vickery called the meeting to order at 9:35 am. Mr. Vickery asked for any additions or deletions to the proposed agenda. Mr. Ritter moved to adopt the agenda as presented. Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried.

Approval of Minutes:

Chairman Vickery presented a draft of the July 25, 2006 minutes and asked for any additions or deletions. Mr. Chastain moved to adopt the minutes as written. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

Professional Societies and Guests:

Mr. Vickery welcomed new Board member, James Butler, LS and recognized the guests present: Hardwick Butler, representing Surveying and Mapping Society of Georgia (SAMSOG), Robert Armstrong, representing SAMSOG, Earl Ferguson, PE and Steve Kalko, representing Georgia Society of Professional Engineers.

Executive Director's Report:

Mr. Mickler reported that the staff is in the process of updating the Board website, removing old information and adding items as needed to make the website more "user-friendly" and helpful to consumers.

Mr. Mickler also reported that he, Mr. Vickery, Mr. Evans and Mr. Dean attended the NCEES Annual Meeting in Anchorage, Alaska. Items discussed at the conference included studying the issue of additional college credit hours for requirements of the Model Law Engineer and exam calculators. Mr. Mickler reported that NCEES will start a database of registered continuing education providers, including a form for licensees to keep records of their continuing education credits. Mr. Vickery recommended that the Georgia Board approve this registry and use it for the Board to perform a 100% audit of Continuing Education.

Adoption of Board Rules:

At 9:45 am, Ms. Willmer moved to close the Public Hearing. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

Board Rule 180-3-.02:

Ms. Willmer moved to adopt Board Rule 180-3-.02, “Qualifications: Professional Engineers and Engineer-In-Training, Experience” as amended and further moved that the formulation and adoption of Board Rule 180-3-.02 does not impose excessive regulatory cost on any licensee and any cost to comply with the proposed rule cannot be reduced by a less expensive alternative that fully accomplishes the objectives of O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-4(a) (Adoption of rules and regulations), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-6(a) (General Powers of the Board), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-8 (Engineer-in-training certificate; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-9 (Professional engineer certificate of registration; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-10 (Evaluation of engineering experience), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-11 (Professional Engineers exam), and O.C.G.A. § 43-15-15 (Applications for certificates) to adopt or implement differing actions for businesses as listed in O.C.G.A. § 50-13-4(a)(3)(A), (B), (C) and (D). The formulation and adoption of these rules will impact every licensee in the same manner and each licensee is independently licensed in the fields of engineering and land surveying. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

Board Rule 180-3-.02 will read as follows:

In evaluating experience offered as qualifying experience under O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-8, 43-15-9, and 43-15-10, the Board will take into consideration the following six (6) factors:

- (a) Prior Education. The Board will take into consideration the applicant’s education at the time the pertinent experience was acquired with the basic view that prior education determines the value of the experience.
- (b) Kind of Experience. The experience should involved the application of special knowledge of the mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences; the preparation of engineering data; the organization and implementation of testing procedures or methodologies for the collection of engineering data; the preparation of engineering data; the interpretation of engineering data; and the involvement in engineering during construction by the design engineer to verify his/her engineering design.
- (c) Quality. The experience should, by progression in difficulty and magnitude, demonstrate that the applicant has acquired ability to design and apply engineering principles that his/her judgment may be trusted on projects involving public health and safety.
- (d) Scope. The experience should demonstrate sufficient breadth and scope within the field selected rather than narrowly focused technical skill within the field.
- (e) Progression. The record of work experience should indicate progression from initial work of simple character to recent work of greater difficulty, complexity and responsibility.
- (f) Capacity and Viewpoint. The record of experience should indicate that the applicant has attained to a considerable degree those attributes of clear thinking and analysis essential to competence.

Authority O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-8(3)(A), 43-15-9, 43-15-10, and 43-15-15(e).

Board Rule 180-8-.01:

Ms. Willmer moved to adopt Board Rule 180-8-.01, “Qualifications: Professional Engineers and Engineer-In-Training, Experience” as amended and further moved that the formulation and adoption of Board Rule 180-3-.02 does not impose excessive regulatory cost on any licensee and any cost to comply with the proposed rule cannot be reduced by a less expensive alternative that fully accomplishes the objectives of O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-4(a) (Adoption of rules and regulations), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-6(a) (General Powers of the Board), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-8 (Engineer-in-training certificate; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-9 (Professional engineer certificate of registration; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-10 (Evaluation of engineering experience), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-11 (Professional Engineers exam), and O.C.G.A. § 43-15-15 (Applications for certificates) to adopt or implement differing actions for businesses as listed in O.C.G.A. § 50-13-4(a)(3)(A), (B), (C) and (D). The formulation and adoption of these rules will impact every licensee in the same manner and each licensee is independently licensed in the fields of engineering and land surveying. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

Board Rule 180-8-.01 will read as follows:

- (1) A certificate of registration which has been administratively revoked for having been expired for greater than four (4) years may be reinstated at the discretion of the Board, ~~for an additional two year period following the date of the certificate's revocation.~~
- ~~(2) A certificate of registration which has been expired for two additional years past its administrative revocation ate may not be reinstated, but may only be reissued upon Board approval of a new application for registration.~~
- (2) The applicant must complete the reinstatement application and include a summary of all experience since the date of original Georgia licensure as a Professional Engineer or Land Surveyor with accompanying experience endorsements for each engagement from professionals who are familiar with the experience provided.
- (3) Applicant must include the reinstatement application fee, and upon final Board review, all other fees as provided to reinstate license registration.

Authority O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-17(b) & 43-15-20.

Board Rule 180-11-.03:

Ms. Willmer moved to adopt Board Rule 180-11-.03, “Qualifications: Professional Engineers and Engineer-In-Training, Experience” as amended and further moved that the formulation and adoption of Board Rule 180-3-.02 does not impose excessive regulatory cost on any licensee and any cost to comply with the proposed rule cannot be reduced by a less expensive alternative that fully accomplishes the objectives of O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-4(a) (Adoption of rules and regulations), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-6(a) (General Powers of the Board), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-8 (Engineer-in-training certificate; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-9 (Professional engineer certificate of registration; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-10 (Evaluation of engineering experience), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-11 (Professional Engineers exam), and O.C.G.A. § 43-15-15 (Applications for certificates) to adopt or implement

differing actions for businesses as listed in O.C.G.A. § 50-13-4(a)(3)(A), (B), (C) and (D). The formulation and adoption of these rules will impact every licensee in the same manner and each licensee is independently licensed in the fields of engineering and land surveying. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

Board Rule 180-11-.03 will read as follows:

- (1) Professional Engineers. Every professional engineer is required to obtain thirty (30) PDH's each twenty-four (24) month (Biennial) renewal period. If a professional engineer exceeds the requirements in any biennial renewal period, a maximum of fifteen (15) PDH's may be carried forward into the subsequent renewal period.
- (2) Land Surveyors. Every land surveyor is required to obtain fifteen (15) PDH's each twenty-four (24) month (Biennial) renewal period. In addition, every land surveyor must ensure that, once every five (5) years, at least six (6) PDH's in "Minimum Technical Standards" be included in their PDH's acquired. If a land surveyor exceeds the requirements in any biennial period, a maximum of seven and one-half (7.5) PDH's may be carried forward into the subsequent renewal period.
- (3) Dual Registrants. The person with a dual license is required to obtain thirty (30) PDH units for a twenty-four (24) month (Biennial) renewal period. If a dual registrant exceeds the requirements in any Biennial renewal period, a maximum of fifteen (15) PDH's may be carried forward into the subsequent renewal period. At least one-third (1/3) of the PDH's in a renewal period must be obtained in engineering, and one-third (1/3) in surveying. The remaining units may be in either field, at the discretion of the registrant.
- (4) PDH's may be earned as follows:
 - (a) Successful completion of college courses.
 - (b) Successful completion of continuing education courses.
 - (c) Successful completion of correspondence, televised, videotaped, audiotaped, and other short courses/tutorials taken for the purpose of maintaining, improving, or expanding the skills and knowledge relevant to the land surveyor's or professional engineer's practice.
 - (d) Presenting or attending seminars, in-house courses, workshops, or professional or technical presentation made at meetings, conventions or conferences which are relevant to the land surveyor's or professional engineer's practice.
 - (e) Teaching or instructing in any area relevant to the land surveyor's or professional engineer's practice.
 - (f) Authoring published papers, articles, or books in any area relevant to the land surveyor's or professional engineer's practice.
 - (g) Active participation in professional or technical societies. (For professional engineers only.)
 - (h) Receiving patents in any area relevant to the land surveyor's or professional engineer's practice.
- ~~(5) Sponsor Forms. Organizations or individuals that provide continuing education must complete the "Sponsor Forms" available from the Board.~~

Authority O.C.G.A. § 43-15-6.

Old Business:

Task Force Reports:

No Task Force report.

Ms. Willmer reported that the Coalition has not met, but should start back in October.

Board Rule 180-12-.02:

Mr. Ritter discussed changes to Board Rule 180-21-.02, Sealing of Documents and proposed the following:

Delete Paragraph 180-12-.02 Sealing of Documents and substitute the following:

- (1) The term “documents” as used herein shall mean engineering and/or land surveying work issued in the form of plans, drawings, plats, maps, surveys, reports, specifications, design information, and calculations including such work issued in digital form.
- (2) The term “issued” as used herein shall mean documents in the final form which bear the seal and signature of the registrant.
- (3) The registrant shall seal and sign (with signature across the seal) all original final documents which are issued to a client or any public agency. The seal of documents by the registrant shall certify that the work was performed by the registrant or under the direct supervisory control of the registrant on a daily basis. For engineering documents, the date of signature shall be placed immediately under the seal and signature.
- (4) No registrant shall issue an incomplete, preliminary, in-progress, or for-review document of any type unless such document displays the date of issue and a notation in bold lettering, such as **“preliminary”**, **“not for construction”**, **“not for recording purposes”**, or **“for review only”**, which clearly identifies the purpose for which the document is issued.
- (5) Seals, signatures, dates, and/or other notation required by this Rule, shall be placed on original documents such that the seal, signature, date, and/or notation, will be reproduced when copies are made. All dates and signatures shall be hand written.
- (6) Documents containing more than one sheet shall be sealed and signed on the first or title page by all registrants responsible for the work therein. Each drawing sheet, whether bound or unbound, shall be sealed and signed by the registrant(s) responsible for the work on that sheet. If a document is sealed and signed by more than one registrant, the portion of the work for which each registrant is responsible shall be clearly noted.

- (7) *Each document that is sealed and signed by a registrant shall contain the name, address, and contact information of the firm or sole practitioner certifying the work.*
- (8) Documents that are electronically transmitted shall have the computer-generated seal removed from the original file. All electronically transmitted documents shall have displayed, in lieu of the seal, signature and date, the following statements: “The original of this document was sealed and signed by í registrant’s printed name and registration numberý on í date of signatureý “ and, “This reproduction is not a certified document”.

Mr. Ritter moved to post Board Rule 180-12-.02 as amended and further moved that the formulation and adoption of Board Rule 180-12-.02 does not impose excessive regulatory cost on any licensee and any cost to comply with the proposed rule cannot be reduced by a less expensive alternative that fully accomplishes the objectives of O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-4(a) (Adoption of rules and regulations), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-6(a) (General Powers of the Board), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-8 (Engineer-in-training certificate; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-9 (Professional engineer certificate of registration; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-10 (Evaluation of engineering experience), O.C.G.A § 43-15-11 (Professional Engineers exam), and O.C.G.A. § 43-15-15 (Applications for certificates) to adopt or implement differing actions for businesses as listed in O.C.G.A. § 50-13-4(a)(3)(A), (B), (C) and (D). The formulation and adoption of these rules will impact every licensee in the same manner and each licensee is independently licensed in the fields of engineering and land surveying. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

Proposed Policy for LS Application Requirements:

Mr. Chastain discussed a proposal for Land Surveyor applications regarding references and other information required for the applicant to send with a Land Surveyor application after he/she has previously and recently applied for and passed the LSIT exam. After general discussion, Mr. Chastain move to adopt Board Policy 06-01, regarding Land Surveyor Exam Application Requirements:

In order to satisfy experience and endorsement requirements, Land Surveyor exam applicants who have applied and passed the LSIT exam in Georgia would only need to submit additional experience endorsements covering all experience since they last applied for LSIT and will not be required to resubmit previous endorsements for experience already endorsed through their LSIT application. Each Land Surveyor candidate will still be required to submit the appropriate application and fee.

Ms. Willmer seconded. Motion carried. This policy will be posted on the Board website.

New Business:

Renewal Fee Schedule:

Mr. Mickler reminded the Board that license renewals are forthcoming at the end of this year and proposed that the current fee schedule for renewals and late renewals be continued. Ms. Willmer moved to continue the renewal and late renewal fees at \$50 and \$300 respectively. Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried.

Surveying Activities by United States Forestry Service (USFS):

Mr. Chastain discussed federal employees working on federal projects being exempt from having to be licensed as a surveyor. Mr. Chastain stated that he had received an inquiry from a person who has been surveying for the United States Forestry Service (USFS). The person cannot seal and record a plat because the Plat Act only allows a registered Georgia surveyor or a county surveyor to register a plat. After discussion it was determined that Mr. Chastain will direct the inquirer to O.C.G.A. 43-15-29.

LS Minimum Technical Standards:

Robert Armstrong, LS presented a proposal for changes to the Land Surveyor Minimum Technical Standards and asked that the Board consider it for a Rule change. Mr. Armstrong said that SAMSOG had overwhelmingly approved the proposal. Ms. Willmer recommended that the Board members review the proposal and put the item on the agenda for the next meeting. Mr. Armstrong was asked to attend the November meeting to answer questions at that time.

Proposed Policy for Additional Offerings:

Mr. Mickler presented the following proposed Board Policy 06-02, regarding applicant requirements for additional exam offerings:

If applicant did not use the four offerings granted for the two year period, (four years for PE Fall Offering examinees), applicant may submit a written request within the subsequent twelve months since his last scheduled offering, to have the missed offerings restored. After that time, in order to be considered for additional offerings, the individual must submit a supplemental application, to include experience since his last offering, endorsed by the PE who supervised that experience, and the applicable application fee. In order to be considered for the next scheduled exam, the written request or the supplemental application must be submitted prior to the June 1st or the December 1st application deadline. Applicant will be notified in writing of the Board's decision. When applicant has exhausted the four offerings permitted by the Board, he/she must complete requirements in accordance with current Board policy (currently 04-05R.).

Mr. Ritter moved to adopt Board Policy 06-02 as presented. Ms. Willmer seconded. Motion carried. This policy will be posted on the Board website.

Requests for Firm Late Renewal Fee Waiver:

Mr. Mickler presented two requests for a waiver of the Certificate of Authorization Late Renewal Fee. Mr. Earl Ferguson, PE presented himself to the Board requesting a waiver for his firm, Compliance Systems Publications, Inc. Ms. Willmer moved to deny the requests. Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried.

Board Rule 180-3-.04:

Mr. Mickler presented the following changes to Board Rule 180-3-.04 to be amended as follows:

(1) Any applicant desiring educational credit for an engineering degree from a foreign institution must submit an evaluation of such education to the Board. Such evaluation must be in a form prescribed by the Board and must be performed by an agency approved by the Board.

~~(2) Approved special evaluation forms and instructions may be obtained from Engineering Credentials Evaluation International (ECEI) at their website WWW.ECEI.ORG.~~

~~(3)~~ (2) Advanced post-graduate degrees to be accepted for educational credit must be in the same branch of engineering and current experience in which the applicant plans to practice in the state of Georgia and be from a school or college whose undergraduate curriculum is Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) accredited in the applicant's discipline at the time of the applicant's study.

Authority O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-4(a), 43-15-6(a), 43-15-8, 43-15-9, 43-15-10, 43-15-13, and 43-15-15.

Mr. Ritter moved to post Board Rule 180-3-.04 and further moved that the formulation and adoption of Board Rule 180-3-.04 does not impose excessive regulatory cost on any licensee and any cost to comply with the proposed rule cannot be reduced by a less expensive alternative that fully accomplishes the objectives of O.C.G.A. §§ 43-15-4(a) (Adoption of rules and regulations), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-6(a) (General Powers of the Board), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-8 (Engineer-in-training certificate; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-9 (Professional engineer certificate of registration; eligibility), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-10 (Evaluation of engineering experience), O.C.G.A. § 43-15-11 (Professional Engineers exam), and O.C.G.A. § 43-15-15 (Applications for certificates) to adopt or implement differing actions for businesses as listed in O.C.G.A. § 50-13-4(a)(3)(A), (B), (C) and (D). The formulation and adoption of these rules will impact every licensee in the same manner and each licensee is independently licensed in the fields of engineering and land surveying. Ms. Willmer seconded. Motion carried.

Executive Session:

At 10:40 am, Ms. Willmer moved for the Board to enter into Executive Session to deliberate on applications and enforcement matters and to receive information on complaints and investigative reports. Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried.

The following Board members were present during Executive Session – Chairman Vickery, Mr. Butler, Mr. Richards, Mr. Richardson, Mr. Evans, Mr. Chastain, Ms. Willmer, and Mr. Ritter.

Reconvened Open Session at 1:55 pm with the following Board members present - Chairman Vickery, Mr. Butler, Mr. Richards, Mr. Richardson, Mr. Evans, Mr. Chastain, Ms. Willmer, and Mr. Ritter.

Investigations and Complaints:

PELS060058 – This case involved allegations of unprofessional conduct by means of fraud, deceit, negligence and incompetence of a Professional Engineer. Mr. Chastain moved to request a volunteer surrender, \$5000 fine and refer to the District Attorney in Henry County. The case information will be forwarded to the Water/Wastewater Board. Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried.

PELS060073 – This case involved unlicensed practice of professional engineering and fraudulent use of seals on a plan. Ms. Willmer moved to accept a signed Voluntary Cease and Desist Order from Brian Carr and send the case information to the District Attorney in Carroll County. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

PELS0120082 – This case involved a Professional Engineer with allegations of assisting unlicensed practice of engineering. Mr. Chastain moved to issue a Consent Order with public reprimand, three years probation and \$500 penalty. Ms. Willmer seconded. Motion carried.

PELS060027 – This case involved unlicensed practice of professional engineering. Ms. Willmer moved to close the case with no violations found. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Richardson moved to accept a signed Consent Agreement for Renewal from Malone Finkle Eckhardt & Collins, Inc., PEF003750. Ms. Willmer seconded. Motion carried.

PELS050066 – This case involved allegations of a Land Surveyor assisting unlicensed practice of surveying. Ms. Willmer moved to send this case to the Attorney General's office to proceed with a formal hearing for revocation. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

PELS050127 – This case involved a firm with allegations of fraudulent information on the Certificate of Authorization application. Mr. Richardson moved to send this case to the

Attorney General's office to proceed with a formal hearing. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

PELS040025 – This case involved a professional engineering firm with allegations of unprofessional conduct and conflict of interest. Mr. Ritter moved to send this case to the Attorney General's office to proceed with a formal hearing. Mr. Richardson seconded. Motion carried.

PELS070003 – This case involved allegations of unlicensed practice of professional engineering. Mr. Chastain moved to close this case with no violations found. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

PELS070008 – This case involved unlicensed practice of professional engineering. Mr. Chastain moved to issue a Voluntary Cease and Desist Order. Mr. Richardson seconded. Motion carried.

PELS0000053 - Mr. Richardson moved to issue a Consent Order for Reinstatement with \$1000 penalty for unlicensed practice. Ms. Willmer seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Chastain moved to deny request of William Morkes, LS002211 to remove the 1990 public board order information from his license. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Richardson moved to accept a signed Consent Order from Guigen David Wang with \$2000 penalty, 24 month probation and public reprimand. Mr. Richards seconded. Motion carried.

Board Memo Comity Model Law Applicants:

Applicants for registration as Professional Engineers by comity, who have an ABET engineering degree, who have taken and passed an 8-hour fundamentals of engineering (EIT/FE) exam, who have a minimum of 48 months post graduation engineering experience as determined by a staff evaluation using the present Board guidelines, who have taken and passed an 8-hour principles and practice of engineering exam (PE Exam-taken at least 4 years after BS degree), and who comply in every way with the provisions of the appropriate law are as follows:

31381 Lee, Spencer R.	31382 Aleman, Francisco R.	31383 Braun, Martin M.
31384 Callahan, John R.	31385 Hix, Stanley H.	31386 Knack, Fredrick H.
31387 Legvold, Terry L.	31388 Martin, Emerick V.	31389 Miller, Jared H.
31390 Randall, Patrick R.	31391 Schmidt, Kermit	31392 Weingardt, Richard G.
31393 Lopez, Jesus	31394 Ching, Stanley M.O.T.	31395 Dransman, Michael
31396 Eakins, Jonathan P.	31397 Ellis, George F.	31398 Fan, Xuejun
31399 Herring, J. Scott	31400 Neubauer, Ernest H.	31401 Phillips, Carlton T.
31402 Stone, Danny L.	31403 Dyer, Bryan D.	31404 Walker, Randall D.
31405 Clausen, John W.	31406 Puckett, Shelley L.	31407 Williams, Robert A.
31408 Bloebaum, Jamison E.	31409 Bodner, Burce S.	31410 Caldwell, Thomas B.
31411 Chini, Eric M.	31412 Coffman, Walter G.	31413 Davidson, David D.
31414 Dean, John R.	31415 Frias, Patrick C.	31416 Gibson, Shona M.
31417 Goodwin, Larry G.	31418 Griffith, Roger W.	31419 Grooms, David C.

31420 Hood, James D.	31421 Hungate, Douglas R.	31422 Hutcherson, Phillip D.
31423 Lamb, David R.	31424 Landsverk, David D.	31425 Lane, Matthew
31426 Lehman, Randall L.	31427 Lindsay, Terrence M.	31428 Little, Duane A.
31429 Mehle, Jeffrey S.	31430 Niedzwiedz, Frank A.	31431 Powell, John D.
31432 Reedy, Robert M.	31433 Ryan, Richard J.	31434 Sanchez, Alberto J.
31435 Schimizzze, Richard R.	31436 Seyle, Frank W. Jr.	31437 Tripi, Eric J.
31438 Wassman, David M. Sr.	31439 Covalt, Margaret R.	31440 Hogue, Timothy D.
31441 LOhman, John S.	31442 McCraw, Michael J.	31443 Schoeff, Eric W.
31444 Wood, Lawrence J.	31445 Ansa, Emmanuel E.	31446 Bradley, Michael L.
31447 Edney, Jeffrey H.	31448 Tan, Pimolmas P.	31449 Wysor, John C.
31450 Gandolfi, Thomas G.	31451 Inskeep, Michael E.	31452 Koenig, Timothy A.
31453 Poltevecque, Richard K.	31454 Schmidt, Aaron B.	31455 Spitzer, Stephen J.
31457 Lichter, John C.	31458 Ommen, Bruce A.	31459 Thomopoulos, Andreas G.
31460 Borden, Roy H Jr.	31461 Cole, Dustin L.	31462 Freeman, William H.
31463 Stukes, Taylor H	31465 Autrey, David G.	31466 Beck, James E.
31467 Hart, Joe W. III	31468 Ingram, Keith A.	31469 McCann, James P.
31470 Iraheta, Victor M.	31471 Roth, William C.	31472 Theisen, Donald E.
31473 Buckberry, Heather L.	31474 Carson, Conrad E.	31475 Chandler, Joseph W.
31476 Smith, Brian E.	31477 Morrow, Alvin G.	31478 Miller, Thomas A.
31479 Ayer, Lawrence J.	31480 Boltja, Stephen A.	31481 Brasch, Raymond L.
31482 Breza, Sun	31483 Brown, Carl A.	31484 Brown, Leroy W.
31485 Coffey, Michael A.	31486 Cooper, David C.	31487 Debelly, Roger D.
31488 Ginn, Shepley G.	31489 Hall, William K. III	31490 Hay, Todd H.
31491 Houston, Stanley S.	31492 Meier, Norman	31493 Monteiro, Rajeev
31494 Neely, Edwin W.	31495 Neyer, Thomas V.	31496 Rishel, Stephen W.
31497 Rogers, Gregory C.	31498 Ronseberger, E. Kelly	31499 Baker, Brookes H.
31500 Schober, Gregory G.	31501 Schunck, Greg L.	31502 Shumaker, Dale B.
31503 Standish, Anthony J.	31504 Voigt, Harry D.	31505 Diaconu, Vlad
31506 Frogley, Christopher S.	31507 Brown, William J.	31508 Farren, Brenda G.
31509 White, Thomas J.	31510 Lashley, James W.	31511 Ray, Christian T.
31512 Zaralban, Robert	31513 Breen, Scott	31514 Noorollahi, Ali f.
31515 Downey, Curtis W.	31516 Hicks, Bradley H.	31517 Breda, Jason D.
31518 Clark, Grover C.	31519 Pennington, Kerry B.	31520 Schlottman, James C.
31521 Ziobron, Keith J.	31522 McComb, Stace E.	31523 Fetterly, Robert S.

Motion was made by Mr. Evans to approve these applicants for PE registration by comity @ 43-15-16(a), via 43-15-8(1) and 43-15-9(1). The motion was seconded by Mr. Richards. The motion carried.

Regular Applicants for Certification as an Engineer-In-Training by Exam:

Applicants for certification as an Engineers-in-Training by examination whose degrees were earned in engineering or engineering technology programs which attained ABET/CAB accreditation within two years of their having received their degrees, who have filed with the Board five acceptable references, who have had no convictions for moral turpitude or substantive reasons, and who comply in every way with the provisions of the appropriate law(s), are as follows:

Crawford, Brandon Gerard	Duncan, Ryan C.	Hagan, Paul Michael
Henrich, Ricard Carl	Jordan, Cabin Brooks Armstrong	King, Stephen Earle
Duo, Chilyun	Lockette, Bryan Eugene	Monaco, Margaret Elizabeth
Powell, Myra Anne	Stuart, Stephen Alexander	Taylor Jr., Robert Brian
Warden, Patrick Dwaine		

Motion was made by Mr. Richardson to approve these applicants for EIT certification @ 43-15-8(1) or 43-15-8(2). The motion was seconded by Mr. Richards. The motion carried.

Senior Applicants for certification as an Engineers-in-Training by examination

Applicants for certification as an Engineers-in-Training by examination who are currently enrolled as seniors in ABET approved programs in schools, colleges or universities in Georgia, who have filed with the Board five acceptable references, who have had no convictions for moral turpitude or other substantive reasons, and in every way comply with the provisions of the appropriate law(s) are as follows:

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Levy, Jeffrey Phillip

Rhoades, Lauren Kay

Schempp, Peter Mac George

SOUTHERN POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY

Ay, Dara

Re-take

Hoyen, Danielle Mae

Motion was made by Mr. Richards to approve these applicants for EIT certification @ 43-15-8(1) or 43-15-8(2). The motion was seconded by Mr. Evans. The motion carried.

Reinstatements:

Mr. Chastain moved to reinstate Stephen A. Curtis, PE013782. Mr. Richardson seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Richardson moved to reinstate Joe W. Sheffield, PE018495. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Richardson moved to reinstate Jane A. McLamarrah, PE015396. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Richards moved to reinstate Sheikh Mohammad Hasan, PE009288. Mr. Chastain seconded. Motion carried.

Release from Probation:

Mr. Chastain moved to release Michael G. Clough, PE026612 and Ronald T. Helton, PE011706 from probationary status. Mr. Evans seconded. Motion carried.

There being no further business, at 2:35 pm, Mr. Chastain moved to adjourn. Mr. Ritter seconded. Motion carried. Some Board members remained to review applications until they were finished.

Board Chairman

Executive Director

3Oct06.doc

These minutes were approved at the November 14, 2006 meeting.